

Minneapolis, MN 55402-2100

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/11/2003 1432.06US03 10/660,215 Stephen E. Walsh 6555 EXAMINER 02/22/2006 Patterson, Thuente, Skaar & Christensen, P.A. NAFF, DAVID M 4800 IDS Center ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 80 South 8th Street

> 1651 DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/660,215	WALSH ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David M. Naff	1651
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (1, cause the application to become ABANDON (1, cause the application the application to become ABANDON (1, cause the application the a	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 S</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	wn from consideration. election requirement. er. epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/660,215 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

5

10

15

20

25

## Election/Restrictions

Claims in the application are 1-10.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8 and 10, drawn to a spinning disk encapsulation apparatus for encapsulating biological material, classified in class 435, subclass 283.1.
- II. Claim 9, drawn to a batch of double layer capsules containing biological material produced by a process involving atomizing and gelling a first polymeric suspension, applying an electrostatic charge to a liquid carrier medium to form a second polymeric suspension, and atomizing and gelling the second polymeric suspension, classified in class 435, subclass 182.

The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, the apparatus is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product. For example, the apparatus can

Application/Control Number: 10/660,215 Page 3

Art Unit: 1651

10

15

20

25

be used to encapsulate material other than biological material and can be used to form a single layer capsule instead of a double layer capsule. Furthermore, the product can be made with a materially different apparatus. For example, the double layer capsules can be produced without a spinning disk such as by forming droplets using a syringe type device. Examining inventions I and II together will be a serious burden due to different searches and considerations in regard to applying prior art.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that

Application/Control Number: 10/660,215

Art Unit: 1651

5

10

15

20

this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M. Naff whose telephone number is 571-272-0920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,215 Page 5

Art Unit: 1651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10

David M. Naff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

DMN 2/21/06